

REVIEWER

User's Manual

Application Review Module (ARM)

PLEASE NOTE: The Application Review Module (ARM) is a system that is designed as a shared service and is maintained by the Grants Centers of Excellence for multiple federal agencies. As a result, instructions are written to be general in nature and not reflective of any specific agency policy. Users should follow their own agency's policies and procedures with regards to the processing of grant reviews.

Table of Contents

- Pages 3 to 5----- About ARM and Accessing ARM
- Pages 6 to 9----- Starting the Review
- Pages 10 to 11---- Application Scoring
- Pages 12 to 20---- Entering/Editing Comments and Timeouts
- Pages 21 to 23---- Submitting your Review
- Pages 24 to 25---- ARM Rules and Statuses
- Pages 26 to 28---- Returned Applications
- Pages 29 to 33---- Completing your Review

About ARM

About ARM


ARM is a web-based application used to assist the Federal grant application reviewing process. It provides a user-friendly environment to facilitate the grant review process while allowing reviewers to participate from remote locations.

- ❖ The primary users for ARM are the Reviewer, Chairperson, Secondary Area Manager (SAM), and Federal Program Area Manager (PAM).

Accessing ARM


Accessing ARM

You will be provided a Username and Password as well as the link to the website prior to your review.

1. Enter your *username* and *password* in their appropriate boxes and click the  button.

Note: *Usernames and Passwords are case sensitive.*

- ❖ Reviewers and Chairpersons will log into the ARM in the same manner. Your username and password will only provide you access to the role and panel applications to which you are assigned.



The screenshot shows a web browser window with a blue header. The main content area is white and contains the following text and form elements:


- Reviewer Login** (in blue text)
- User Name:** followed by a text input box
- Password:** followed by a text input box
- A **Login** button (in a grey box)
- A red error message: **User Name is Case Sensitive**

Starting the Review

Accessing Your Applications

2a. Select Your Session


If you are participating in multiple reviews, you will need to select the session you would like to work on. Click on the link to select your session.



Log on/off Agency Home			Reviewer : Edward Bailey (# 5283)	
Session ID	Session Name		Program Support Site	
1. AIP-101	Airport Improvement Program (AIP)		Go to Site	

2b. Select Your Panel

Click on the number of your panel which is a link to select your panel.



Log on/off Agency Home Panel List

Edward Bailey (# 5283)

User Name : Edward Bailey (# 5283)
Please Select Panel and Role:

Role: Reviewer

Panel 1

Note: If the "Program Support Site" column has a Go to Site link visible, clicking on the link will open an outside website in a new window.

Starting the Review

Application Selection Screen

This screen displays six major identifiers of the application.

- ❖ Application Number
- ❖ Application Name
- ❖ Status (see p. 24)
- ❖ Total Score, if applicable
- ❖ Scores Entered
- ❖ Comments Added
- ❖ View Application PDF File (optional)

Log on/off Agency Home Panel List Applications List

Panel: 1 Reviewer : Edward Bailey (# 5283)

Score with * is incomplete.

Application Number	Application Name	Status	Total Score	Scores Entered	Comments Added	Application File
AIP-1001	Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International	Returned by Chair	89	4 / 4	4	Open File
AIP-1002	Ted Stevens Anchorage International	Submitted to Chair	84	4 / 4	0	Open File
AIP-1003	Phoenix Sky Harbor International	In-review	91	4 / 4	1	Open File
AIP-1004	Jackson-Evers International	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	Open File
AIP-1005	Kansas City International	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	--
AIP-1006	Eppley Airfield	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	--
AIP-1007	McCarran International	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	--
AIP-1008	Newark Liberty International	In-review	86	4 / 4	3	Open File
AIP-1015	Palm Springs International	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	--

- ❖ Applications which have not been accessed will remain in a "Pre-Review" status.

3. Click on the hyperlinked Application Name to advance to the Application Evaluation Screen and begin your review.

Note: In the score column, if the score shown is -- you have not entered any scores for this application. If an * is present next to the score, you have not entered all of the individual scores required for this application.

Starting the Review

Application Selection Screen- Understanding Your Progress

- ❖ The column titled “Scores Entered” shows how many scores have been entered out of the total amount of criteria for that application.
- ❖ The column titled “Comments Added” will list the number of comments (strengths and weaknesses) that have been entered for that application.

Log on/off Agency Home Panel List Applications List						
Panel: 1 Reviewer : Edward Bailey (# 5283)						
Score with * is incomplete.						
Application Number	Application Name	Status	Total Score	Scores Entered	Comments Added	Application File
AIP-1001	Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International	Returned by Chair	89	4 / 4	4	Open File
AIP-1002	Ted Stevens Anchorage International	Submitted to Chair	84	4 / 4	0	Open File
AIP-1003	Phoenix Sky Harbor International	In-review	91	4 / 4	1	Open File
AIP-1004	Jackson-Evers International	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	Open File
AIP-1005	Kansas City International	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	--
AIP-1006	Eppley Airfield	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	--
AIP-1007	McCarran International	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	--
AIP-1008	Newark Liberty International	In-review	86	4 / 4	3	Open File
AIP-1015	Palm Springs International	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	--

Application Evaluation Screen

Application Evaluation Screen

This screen serves as the primary control panel for evaluating that particular application. All applications will be scored by individual criterion.

- ❖ The scoring criteria are listed in the first column.

- ❖ The middle column is where you will click to enter your scores. The scores will automatically total as you move through the criteria.

- ❖ The last column is where you will be able to click and enter comments after you have entered scores.

The screenshot displays the 'Application Evaluation Screen' for 'AIP-1003 Phoenix Sky Harbor International'. The status is '(In-review)' and the location is 'City : Phoenix State : AZ'. The reviewer is 'Edward Bailey (# 5283)'. The table below lists four criteria, each worth 25 points, with columns for 'Criteria', 'Score', and 'Comments'. The scores are currently blank, and the 'Actual Score' is also blank. At the bottom, there are buttons for 'Submit to Chair', 'View Score Report', and 'View Comments'.

Criteria	Score	Comments
1 Approach (25 points)	==	Comment
2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points)	==	Comment
3 Job Creation (25 points)	==	Comment
4 Design of Project (25 points)	==	Comment
Actual Score:	--	

Score with * is incomplete.

Submit to Chair View Score Report View Comments

Note: The application will remain in an "In-Review" status until you have completed your initial review.

Application Evaluation Screen

View Full Criteria Descriptions (if applicable)

If the review criteria were setup with detailed descriptions, you may view them by clicking on the hyperlinked header Criteria.

- ❖ Clicking on the header Criteria will open a new window with the full descriptions visible.

The screenshot shows a web application interface for evaluating applications. At the top, there is a navigation bar with links: Log on/off, Agency Home, Panel List, Applications List, and Evaluation. Below this, the current panel is identified as Panel 1, and the reviewer is Edward Bailey (# 5283). The application being evaluated is AIP-1003 Phoenix Sky Harbor International, which is in review. The city is Phoenix and the state is AZ. A table with three columns: Criteria, Score, and Comments is displayed. The 'Criteria' header is circled in red. Below the table, there are buttons for 'Submit to Chair' and 'View Score'. A pop-up window titled 'Criteria Details' is open, showing the full description for each criterion. The session ID is AIP-101 and the session name is Airport Improvement Program (AIP).

Criteria	Score	Comments
1 Approach (25 points)	=	Comment
2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points)		
3 Job Creation (25 points)		
4 Design of Project (25 points)		

Score with * is incomplete.

Session ID: AIP-101
Session Name: Airport Improvement Program (AIP)

Criteria Details

1 Approach (25 points)
Please describe the approach the applicant took in responding to the FOA. Was everything noted in the FOA addressed? Did the applicant provide references and/or letters of confirmation?

2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points)
Was the budget and budget justification presented in an accurate manner? Were receipts and any other supporting documents included in the application.

3 Job Creation (25 points)
Did the applicant describe how the grant funds would create jobs. Were the job descriptions and the amount of the salaries included? Did the applicant include resumes of potential employees?

4 Design of Project (25 points)
Please score and comment on the design of the project. Is it an effective plan? Does it follow the guidelines set forth by the Federal Aviation Administration?

- ❖ You may keep this window open in the background and reference it if you need to.

Application Evaluation Scoring

Application Scoring

First, users must enter a score, before any comments can be entered.

4. In the Score column, click on the hyperlinked -- to begin scoring the application.
5. Using the drop-down arrow, select the appropriate score by clicking on the number.
6. Click .

Note: You will not be able to select a score greater than the maximum defined by the criterion.

Panel: 1 Reviewer: Edward Bailey (# 5283)

AIP-1003 Phoenix Sky Harbor International
(In-review)
City: Phoenix State: AZ

Criteria	Score	Comments
1 Approach (25 points)	--	Comment
2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points)	--	Comment
3 Job Creation (25 points)	--	Comment
4 Design of Project (25 points)	--	Comment
Actual Score:		--

Submit

Panel: 1 Reviewer: Edward Bailey (# 5283)

AIP-1001 Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International
(In-review)
City: Birmingham State: AL

1 Approach

Max.: 25

Click Here

Submit Cancel

0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

Application Evaluation Scoring

Entering Multiple Scores At Once

- ❖ If you would like to enter all of your scores at one time, click on the hyperlinked column header Score.
- ❖ The full Add Scores screen will open, and you will be able to click on the drop-down arrow for each criterion and assign a score.
- ❖ You may also view the full descriptions of the criteria by clicking on the Show Criteria link at the criterion level OR you can view all of the descriptions for all of the criteria by clicking on the header Show All Descriptions.
- ❖ When you have entered all of the scores, click

Log on/off Agency Home Panel List Applications List Evaluation

Panel: 1 Reviewer: Edward Bailey (# 5283)

AIP-1003 Phoenix Sky Harbor International
(In-review)
City: Phoenix State: AZ

Score with * is incomplete.

Criteria	Score	Comments
1 Approach (25 points)	--	Comment
2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points)	--	Comment
3 Job Creation (25 points)	--	Comment
4 Design of Project (25 points)	--	Comment
Actual Score:		--

Log on/off Agency Home Panel List Applications List Evaluation Add Scores

Panel: 1 Reviewer: Edward Bailey (# 5283)

AIP-1003 Phoenix Sky Harbor International
(In-review)
City: Phoenix State: AZ

Criteria	Score
1 Approach (25 points) Show Criteria	--
2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points) Show Criteria	--
3 Job Creation (25 points) Show Criteria	--
4 Design of Project (25 points) Show Criteria	--

[Show All Descriptions](#)

Application Evaluation Scoring

Entering Comments

Only after you assign a score to an individual criterion can you include corresponding comments.

7. Click on the hyperlinked word Comment to write a comment for the respective criteria.

❖ Again, as seen in the image, the only activated Comment links are those with a corresponding score. The link will automatically appear once you have scored the criterion.

The screenshot shows a web interface for application evaluation. At the top, there is a navigation bar with links: Log on/off, Agency Home, Panel List, Applications List, and Evaluation. Below this, it indicates 'Panel: 1' and 'Reviewer: Edward Bailey (# 5283)'. The main content area displays 'AIP-1003 Phoenix Sky Harbor International' with a status of '(In-review)' and location details 'City : Phoenix State : AZ'. A table lists evaluation criteria with their scores and comment links. The 'Comment' link for the first criterion is circled in red. Below the table, there are buttons for 'Submit to Chair', 'View Score Report', and 'View Comments'.

Criteria	Score	Comments
1 Approach (25 points)	23	Comment
2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points)	21	Comment
3 Job Creation (25 points)	25	Comment
4 Design of Project (25 points)	22	Comment
Actual Score:		91


Note: If you have not entered all required scores for an application, your **Actual Score** will be followed by an * indicating that your scoring is not complete.

Application Evaluation Comments


Entering Comments

Clicking the Comment link will open the Comments window.

8. Click on the drop-down arrow to open the menu. Select whether your comment is a “Strength” or a “Weakness”
9. Enter a page number or any page identifying information.




Note: All fields in this screen are required.

10. Enter your comment. You can type directly into this field or copy and paste from a word processing program. **After entering the comment it is strongly recommended that you Spell Check your work by clicking on the Spell Check button.**
11. Click  to finalize your comment as part of your evaluation.

Session Time-Outs and Preventing Data Loss

Session Time Out

- ❖ In order to provide maximum data security, the ARM system sessions are designed to “Time-Out” after 30 minutes of inactivity. After 25 minutes, if you are not clicking between ARM web pages, a warning message will appear. If no action is taken within 5 minutes, you will be timed out. **Any unsaved work will be lost. It is Highly recommended that you take advantage of the SAVE button.**



Application Evaluation Comments

Saving and Retrieving Saved Comments

- ❖ **Clicking on the SAVE button will allow you to save data into the ARM system. The data will remain even if you time out or you decide to enter additional text at a later time. It is important that you click on the “Submit” button to finalize the comment even if you have saved the comment. Clicking on the submit button will clear out the 3 mandatory fields and provide you the opportunity to continue adding additional comments.**

The screenshot shows a web interface titled "1 Approach" with a sub-header "Add a New Comment". A red message states "Fields with * are required." Below this are three input fields: "Category*" with a dropdown menu showing "Strength", "Page*" with a text input "Page 26-29" and a note "(Max. length is 50 characters.)", and "Comment Text*" with a large text area containing the placeholder "Enter comment here." and a note "(Max. length is 4000 characters.)". At the bottom of the form are three buttons: "Submit", "Spell Check", and "Save". The "Save" button is circled in red. Below the form is a section labeled "Comments" with a table structure.

Application Evaluation Comments

Saving and Retrieving Saved Comments

- ❖ Clicking on the “Retrieve the Last Comment” link will load the comment that was saved most recently. In case of a time out, you should go to the respective criteria and click on the “Retrieve the Last Comment” link in order to retrieve the comment you were working on.

The screenshot displays the '1 Approach' application interface. At the top, there is a header '1 Approach'. Below it, a section titled 'Add a New Comment' contains a red warning message: 'Fields with * are required.' The form includes a 'Category*' dropdown menu, a 'Page*' text input field with a '(Max. length is 50 characters.)' hint, and a large 'Comment Text*' text area with a '(Max. length is 4000 characters.)' hint. At the bottom of the form are three buttons: 'Submit', 'Spell Check', and 'Save'. Below the form is a 'Comments' section. It features a link 'Retrieve the Last Comment' with a red arrow pointing to it. Underneath, there is a table with one row labeled 'Comment 1' and 'Edit / Delete' links. The table content shows 'Strength' and 'Page: Page 26-29'. At the bottom of the table is a text input field with the placeholder 'Enter comment here.'

Application Evaluation Comments

Entering Multiple Comments

You can enter multiple comments for each criterion.

- ❖ To enter multiple comments, repeat steps 8-11.
- ❖ All previous comments will be visible toward the bottom of the page below the “Add a New Comment” box.
- ❖ Regardless of the order in which they were entered, comments will group by category (Strength or Weakness).


The screenshot shows a web application interface for adding and viewing comments. The top section is titled "1 Approach" and "Add a New Comment". It includes a dropdown for "Category*", a text input for "Page*" with a "(Max. length is 50 characters.)" hint, and a large text area for "Comment Text*" with a "(Max. length is 4000 characters.)" hint. Below these are "Submit", "Spell Check", and "Save" buttons. The bottom section is titled "Comments" and "Retrieve the Last Comment". It displays two comment entries:

Comment 1	Edit / Delete
Strength Page: Entire Application This is my 2nd comment for this criterion.	
Comment 2	Edit / Delete
Strength Page: Page 28-29 Enter comment here.	

Application Evaluation Comments


Editing Comments

You can edit your saved comments at any time.

- ❖ To edit your comments click on the word Edit next to the comment number you would like to change.
- ❖ Clicking on the Edit link will open the comment window, and you will be able to edit your content. When complete click  to save your changes.

Deleting Comments

- ❖ Click Delete to **permanently** remove a comment from your evaluation.



The screenshot displays the 'Comments' section of an application evaluation tool. At the top, there is a link 'Retrieve the Last Comment'. Below this, a table lists three comments. The first comment, 'Comment 1', is selected, and its 'Edit' link is circled in red. The second and third comments are also listed. Below the table, an 'Edit' window is open for 'Comment 1'. The window has a title bar '1 Approach' and contains the following fields: 'Category*' (a dropdown menu with 'Strength' selected), 'Page*' (a text box with 'Page 26-29' and a note '(Max. length is 50 characters.)'), and 'Comment Text*' (a large text area with the placeholder 'Enter comment here.' and a note '(Max. length is 4000 characters.)'). At the bottom of the window are three buttons: 'Submit', 'Spell Check', and 'Save'.

Comment	Strength	Page	Comment Text
Comment 1	Strength	Page: Budget Narrative	This would be the 3rd comment for this criterion.
Comment 2	Strength	Page: Entire Application	This is my 2nd comment for this criterion.
Comment 3	Strength	Page: Page 26-29	

1 Approach

Fields with * are required.

Category* : Strength

Page* : Page 26-29 (Max. length is 50 characters.)

Comment Text* : Enter comment here.

(Max. length is 4000 characters.)

Submit Spell Check Save

Application Evaluation Scoring

Completed Comments

Once you have finished entering all of your comments for a criterion, you will need to return to the Application Evaluation Screen.

12. After you have included all comments for the respective criterion, click on the hyperlinked word Evaluation in the bar at the top of your screen to return to the Application Evaluation Screen,

The screenshot displays the 'Application Evaluation Scoring' interface. At the top, a navigation bar includes links: Log on/off, Agency Home, Panel List, Applications List, **Evaluation** (highlighted with a red circle), and Comments. Below the navigation bar, the header shows 'AIP-1001 Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International' with a '(Review)' link, and 'City: Birmingham State: AL'. The main content area is titled '1 Approach' and contains a form for 'Add a New Comment'. The form includes a 'Category*' dropdown, a 'Page*' text field (with a note '(Max. length is 50 characters.)'), and a 'Comment Text*' text area (with a note '(Max. length is 4000 characters.)'). Below the text area are 'Submit', 'Spell Check', and 'Save' buttons. A 'Comments' section at the bottom lists existing comments with 'Retrieve the Last Comment' link. The list shows two comments, both with a 'Strength' rating. Comment 1 is for 'Page: Budget Narrative' and Comment 2 is for 'Page: Entire Application'.

Comment 1	Edit / Delete
Strength	Page: Budget Narrative
This would be the 3rd comment for this criterion.	

Comment 2	Edit / Delete
Strength	Page: Entire Application
This is my 2nd comment for this criterion.	

Non-Scoring Criteria

Non-Scoring Criteria

If your session was setup with non-scoring criteria, the screen will look similar to the one below. The non-scoring criteria will be displayed below the scoring criteria.

Comments for non-scoring criteria are not mandatory. Follow the previous steps to add strengths and weaknesses for non-scoring criteria.

iGCD2011000109 Emory University
([in-review](#))
City : Atlanta State : GA

Score with * is incomplete.

Criteria	Score	Comments
1 Job Creation (25 points)	==	Comment
2 Organizational Capacity and Management Plan (25 points)	==	Comment
3 Proposed Approach (25 points)	==	Comment
4 Training and Resources (25 points)	==	Comment
Actual Score:	--	

Non-Scoring Criteria

	Comments
1 General Comments	Comment
2 Feedback for Applicant	Comment

Application Evaluation Scoring

Application Evaluation Screen

After returning to this screen you will need to continue entering comments for the remaining criteria.

- ❖ Repeat steps 7-12 to add additional comments for an application.

[Log on/off](#) [Agency Home](#) [Panel List](#) [Applications List](#) Evaluation

Panel: 1 Reviewer : Edward Bailey (# 5283)

AIP-1003 Phoenix Sky Harbor International
([In-review](#))
City : Phoenix State : AZ

Score with * is incomplete.


Criteria	Score	Comments
1 Approach (25 points)	23	Comment
2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points)	21	Comment
3 Job Creation (25 points)	25	Comment
4 Design of Project (25 points)	22	Comment
Actual Score:	91	

[Submit to Chair](#) [View Score Report](#) [View Comments](#)


Scoring Reports

View Score Report

You can view a full report of your scores at any time in the process.

- ❖ To view the full report of your scores, you can click the  button at any time. The full report will pop-up in a new window. This report will be key to finalizing your review.

- ❖ To view a full copy of all of your comments for an individual application evaluation, you can click on the  button at any time. The entire list will pop-up in a new window.



Log on/off Agency Home Panel List Applications List Evaluation

Panel: 1 Reviewer : Edward Bailey (# 5283)

AIP-1003 Phoenix Sky Harbor International
([In-review](#))
City : Phoenix State : AZ

Score with * is incomplete.


Criteria	Score	Comments
1 Approach (25 points)	23	Comment
2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points)	21	Comment
3 Job Creation (25 points)	25	Comment
4 Design of Project (25 points)	22	Comment
Actual Score:		91

[Submit to Chair](#) [View Score Report](#) [View Comments](#)

Submit Review


Submitting Your Review

When you have completed scoring the application and are satisfied with your comments you will need to submit your evaluation to your panel Chairperson.

13. Click the  button to submit your application evaluation to your Chairperson.

14. Click OK in the confirmation box to finalize your submission.

- ❖ Once you submit your application evaluation to your Chairperson, the application will be in a “Submitted to Chair” status and you will not be able to make any changes until he/she returns it to you for edits.



The screenshot shows a web application interface for evaluating an application. At the top, there is a navigation bar with links: Log on/off, Agency Home, Panel List, Applications List, and Evaluation. Below this, the current panel is identified as Panel: 1 and the reviewer as Edward Bailey (# 5283). The application being evaluated is AIP-1001 Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International. A confirmation dialog box titled "Submit to Panel?" is displayed in the center, with "OK" and "Cancel" buttons. In the background, a table shows the scoring details for four criteria: 1 Approach (25 points) with a score of 22, 2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points) with a score of 19, 3 Job Creation (25 points) with a score of 23, and 4 Design of Project (25 points) with a score of 25. The total Actual Score is 89. A note indicates that a score with an asterisk (*) is incomplete. At the bottom of the table, there are buttons for "Submit to Chair", "View Score Report", and "View Comments".

	Score	Comments
1 Approach (25 points)	22	Comment
2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points)	19	Comment
3 Job Creation (25 points)	23	Comment
4 Design of Project (25 points)	25	Comment
Actual Score:	89	

Note: The Submit to Chairperson button will not be activated until ALL scores have been entered.

Application Evaluation

Scoring and Comments Rules

- ❖ Reviewers can only see their own scores and comments.
- ❖ A score must be entered for each criterion before proceeding to enter comments. The Comments link will be automatically activated once a value is populated in the score field.
- ❖ Reviewers cannot change or modify their scores and comments once an application has been submitted to the Chairperson. Reviewers can, however, still view their own scores and comments.
- ❖ Reviews cannot be submitted to the Chairperson until the reviewer has scored all criteria for any one application.

Application Status Definitions

Pre-Review – You, the Reviewer, have ownership of your application and have not yet accessed the evaluation. You have not started the scoring and commenting phase at this time.

In Review – You, the Reviewer, have ownership of your application and have not yet submitted the application evaluation to the Chairperson. You are scoring and commenting at this stage.

Submitted to Chair – You have completed your scoring and comments and have submitted your application evaluation to the Chairperson. A Reviewer cannot make any changes while an application is in this status.

Returned by Chair – Your Chairperson has identified changes you need to address. He/She has returned the evaluation to you for additional work. A Chairperson cannot make any changes while an application is in this status.

Submitted to SAM – All Reviewers on your panel have submitted the application to the panel Chairperson, and the Chairperson has approved all of your comments and scores and submitted the application to the SAM for preliminary approval. When in the *Submitted to SAM* status, the panel no longer can make edits to this application report until it is returned to the panel for changes.

Returned by SAM – After a panel has completed their first session and submitted the comments and scores to the SAM, the SAM will review them and if necessary return them to the Chairperson with comments for changes or clarifications.

Submitted to PAM – All Reviewers on your panel have submitted the application to the panel Chairperson, and the Chairperson has approved all of your comments and scores and submitted the application to the SAM for preliminary approval. The SAM has sent the evaluation up to the PAM for final approval. When in the *Submitted to PAM* status, the panel no longer can make edits to this application report until it is returned to the panel for changes.

Returned by PAM – After a panel has completed their first session and submitted the comments and scores to the PAM, the PAM will review them and if necessary return them to the SAM with comments for changes or clarifications.

Approved – Once the PAM approves the Final Summary Report for an application, the status will immediately change to “Approved” and no further changes are required or permitted. The Approved status signifies the beginning of the finalization process for all Reviewers and Chairpersons.

Returned Applications

Returned by Chairperson

After your paneling session your Chairperson may return your application evaluation to you for changes. This can occur multiple times for one evaluation.

- ❖ You will know if an application has been returned to you because the status on your Application Selection Screen will read **“Returned by Chair”**.

Log on/off Agency Home Panel List Applications List

Panel: 1 Reviewer: Edward Bailey (# 5283)

Score with * is incomplete.

Application Number	Application Name	Status	Total Score	Scores Entered	Comments Added	Application File
AIP-1001	Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International	Returned by Chair	89	4 / 4	4	Open File
AIP-1002	Ted Stevens Anchorage International	Submitted to Chair	84	4 / 4	0	Open File
AIP-1003	Phoenix Sky Harbor International	In-review	91	4 / 4	1	Open File
AIP-1004	Jackson-Evers International	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	Open File
AIP-1005	Kansas City International	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	--
AIP-1006	Eppley Airfield	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	--
AIP-1007	McCarran International	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	--
AIP-1008	Newark Liberty International	In-review	86	4 / 4	3	Open File
AIP-1015	Palm Springs International	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	--

15. To begin the process of editing your application evaluation, click on the Application Name, as you did in Step 3 to open the Application Evaluation Screen.

Returned Applications

Return History

16. Click on the Returned by Chairperson status link. This will open the Returned History view in a new window.

- ❖ The Returned History page indicates the date your report was returned and the reason. It is a direct message from your Chairperson identifying the changes that need to be made. All returned comments will be stored here with the most recent message listed first.

Log on/off Agency Home Panel List Applications List Evaluation

Panel: 1 Reviewer : Edward Bailey (# 5283)

AIP-1001 Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International
(Returned by Chair)
City : Birmingham State : AL

Score with * is incomplete.

Criteria	Score	Comments
1 Approach (25 points)	22	Comment
2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points)	19	Comment
3 Job Creation (25 points)	23	Comment
4 Design of Project (25 points)	25	Comment
Actual Score:		89

[Submit to Chair](#) [View Score Report](#) [View Comments](#)

AIP- 1001Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International


May 1, 2012: Rejected By Chair #4045


Please add additional comments.

Revise Application Evaluation

Revising Your Evaluation

Following the instructions of your Chairperson and based on your paneling sessions, you will need to make the required updates identified.

17. Referring to the appropriate criterion, click the Comment link to add/edit comments.
18. To change a score, click the applicable Score link.
19. When you have finished updating your comments and scores, click  to send your evaluation back to the Chairperson.



The screenshot shows a web application interface for evaluating applications. At the top, there is a navigation bar with links: Log on/off, Agency Home, Panel List, Applications List, and Evaluation. Below this, the user is identified as Panel: 1, Reviewer: Edward Bailey (# 5283). The application being evaluated is AIP-1001 Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International, which has been returned by the chair. The location is Birmingham, AL. A table displays the evaluation criteria and scores. The table has three columns: Criteria, Score, and Comments. The criteria are: 1 Approach (25 points) with a score of 22, 2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points) with a score of 19, 3 Job Creation (25 points) with a score of 23, and 4 Design of Project (25 points) with a score of 25. The total Actual Score is 89. At the bottom, there are three buttons: Submit to Chair, View Score Report, and View Comments.

Criteria	Score	Comments
1 Approach (25 points)	22	Comment
2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points)	19	Comment
3 Job Creation (25 points)	23	Comment
4 Design of Project (25 points)	25	Comment
Actual Score:	89	

Completing Your Review

Completing Your Review

When an application status reads “Approved”, your evaluation role is complete. However, you must still print, sign, and return hard copies of the scoresheets in their required format.


20. Identify the application’s status as Approved.
21. Click on the Application Name to open the Application Evaluation Screen.


Log on/off Agency Home Panel List Applications List						
Panel: 1 Reviewer : Edward Bailey (# 5283)						
Score with * is incomplete.						
Application Number	Application Name	Status	Total Score	Scores Entered	Comments Added	Application File
AIP-1001	Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International	Approved	89	4 / 4	4	Open File
AIP-1002	Ted Stevens Anchorage International	Submitted to Chair	84	4 / 4	0	Open File
AIP-1003	Phoenix Sky Harbor International	In-review	91	4 / 4	1	Open File
AIP-1004	Jackson-Evers International	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	Open File
AIP-1005	Kansas City International	Pre-review	--	0 / 4	0	--

Printing Final Documents

Printing Score Sheets for Signature

You will need to print the PDF version of your Score Report to sign and return.

22. Click on the  button to open the final Score Report for the Approved application evaluation. The report will pop-up in a new window.



The screenshot shows a web application interface for evaluating an application. At the top, there is a navigation bar with links: Log on/off, Agency Home, Panel List, Applications List, and Evaluation. Below this, the current panel is identified as Panel: 1 and the reviewer as Edward Bailey (# 5283). The application being evaluated is AIP-1001 Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International, which is marked as (Approved). The location is listed as City: Birmingham and State: AL. A table displays the evaluation criteria and scores:

Criteria	Score	Comments
1 Approach (25 points)	22	Comment
2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points)	19	Comment
3 Job Creation (25 points)	23	Comment
4 Design of Project (25 points)	25	Comment
Actual Score:	89	

At the bottom of the interface, there are three buttons: Submit to Chair, View Score Report (circled in red), and View Comments. A note at the top right of the table area states: 'Score with * is incomplete.'

Note: Once your application is in the “Approved” status, no further changes can be made. You will no longer have access to edit any comments or scores.


Printing Final Documents

Printing Score Sheets for Signature

The web version of the score report will open for your viewing. You can again review the information here.

23. Click on the [PDF Report](#) link. This button will open a PDF version of your score report.

❖ The web page copy is **NOT** the version you will need to print and sign!



Click Here
PDF Report

Federal Aviation Administration
Airport Improvement Program (AIP)
REVIEWER SCORING FORM

ID: AIP-1001 Panel: 1 Score: 89
Applications : Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International
City: Birmingham State: AL

CRITERIA	Score
1 Approach (25 points)	22
2 Budget and Budget Justification (25 points)	19
3 Job Creation (25 points)	23
4 Design of Project (25 points)	25
Actual Score:	89 / 100

Panel Number: 1
Reviewer Name: Edward Bailey
Reviewer Number: 5283
Date: May 1, 2012 4:31 PM

Note: A PDF report will need to be printed, signed and submitted for each application assigned to you. PDF report can be accessed [PDF Report](#) or by using the link on the upper right hand corner of this page. This Html report is to be used for panel meetings or to view scoring summary for this application only. This draft report is not to be signed or submitted. A PDF version of this report will be available when the evaluation for this application has been approved by the PAM, this will be reflected in the application status as it will change to "Approved by PAM".

Note: The PDF Report link will only be activated after your application has reached the "Approved" status.

Printing Final Documents

Printing Score Sheets for Signature

The web version of the score report will open for viewing. You can again review the information here.

24. Confirm that this is the PDF report by checking that a blank signature line appears with your name beneath it, as well as a line for you to date your score report.

25. Print and Sign this PDF page to return!

AIP-1001 Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International

Federal Aviation Administration
Airport Improvement Program (AIP)
FINAL SUMMARY OF CRITERION SCORES

Application Number: AIP-1001Panel: 1

Application Name: Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International

State: ALCity: Birmingham

Criteria	Score
1. Approach	22
2. Budget and Budget Justification	19
3. Job Creation	23
4. Design of Project	25
Total:	89/100

Reviewer#5283: Edward BaileyDate

Note: The PDF Report button will only be activated once your application has reached the "Approved" status.

End

Please do not forget to sign and submit all of your required paperwork.

Thank you.